UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,661	03/29/2004	Christian Woll	23861/3	2443
	7590 04/04/2007 eph P. Quinn, Esq.		EXAMINER	
Brown Rudnick Berlack Israels LLP		PRIDDY, MICHAEL B		
One Financial C Box IP	Center		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02	111		3733	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AVS	04/04/2007	DAE	ncp.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,661	WOLL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael B. Priddy	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 .	
•	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) iş/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
	a priority documents have beei	n received in this National Stage
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I 		_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-23, drawn to a bone segment positioning apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 60.
- II. Claims 24-32, drawn to a method for aligning bone segments, classified in class 606, subclass 105.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process can be practiced with a bone segment positioning apparatus of design different from that of invention I and the positioning apparatus could be used in a materially different process.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/811,661

Art Unit: 3733

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,661

Art Unit: 3733

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Priddy whose telephone number is 571-272-2243. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael B. Priddy
Michael B. Pindy
April 1, 2007

EDUARDY//J. ROBERT SUPERVISORY (ATENT EXAMIN